

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SHERMAN BURLESON,

Civ. No. 03-1496-TC
O R D E R

Petitioner,

vs.

JEAN HILL,

Respondent.


AIKEN, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Findings and Recommendation on December 9, 2005. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given the file of this case a de novo review. I ADOPT the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 40) that petitioner's habeas corpus petitioner is dismissed.

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2 Dated this 31 day of January 2006.

3
4
5
6 

7 Ann Aiken
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28